

## Nightmares by Design

Last night, Mitt Romney was the guest on the Charlie Rose program. One of the most significant comments that Romney made was to say that Obama has brought us too close to the line in terms of Socialism, we're on the brink and we need to be brought back. At the same time, he took the traditional Republican stance that the uneven distribution of wealth in this country was perfectly fine. It's ok that Bill Gates and Warren Buffet have billions while the middle class is collapsing. What that tells me is that he has a partial understanding of the problem, but he doesn't understand the mechanisms of the disease and because of that, if he gets elected, he'll exacerbate the problem. That's not to say that any of the other candidates are any better because I don't think they are – and that includes Ron Paul.

I found a [definition of Socialism](#) that I think will serve for the discussion that follows:

**Socialism** is an economic system characterized by social ownership of the means of production and cooperative management of the economy; or a political philosophy advocating such a system. "Social ownership" may refer to any one of, or a combination of, the following: cooperative enterprises, common ownership, autonomous public ownership or state ownership. As a form of social organization, socialism is based on cooperative social relations and self-management; relatively equal power-relations and the reduction or elimination of hierarchy in the management of economic and political affairs.

You see that they have trouble defining it because they are trying to define it from the consequences of it. Socialism is actually engineering of the economy and social systems. The design determines the ownership arrangements, which is why it can't be defined by those arrangements. They vary with the design.

What Mitt Romney said about us being on the brink is not exactly accurate. We are steeped in the implementation of the engineered systems designs and the America we knew is disintegrating correspondingly. It didn't start with Obama. It actually started during the George Herbert Walker Bush administration because it was during his administration that the decision was made to use the nation's telecommunications system to corporatize our government and to integrate our financial system into a global system.

Since I'm always multitasking, this time as I was thinking about the idea of designing a webpage to try to explain the redesign of the U.S. government systems including the highway systems, emergency management systems, education systems, health care systems, etc., for the purpose of giving the overall design strategy of collectivization and market management with all entities being considered entities of a market; and I was working on what to write in my complaint to the Idaho Public Utilities Commission, it occurred to me that I needed to try to find the FCC Regulations concerning attaching a

surveillance and communications device to my home against my wishes. Lo and behold, I found the National Broadband Plan.

National Broadband Plan

<http://www.broadband.gov/download-plan/>

Just a brief scan of it is enough information for me to know that my diagnosis of what ails us is correct. The systems of government were designed by engineers to take advantage of the capabilities of the open national telecommunications system (Internet) from an engineers point of view without regard for our form of government, our history, our traditions, our requirements for autonomous life within an organized society, etc. That's why the systems being implemented are psychopathic. What's possible to do from an engineering point of view in terms of organization of a society is not desirable in human terms. While they have designed systems to exploit telecommunications capabilities, they have designed nightmares for us.

Vicky Davis,  
Not an object in your design

December 20, 2011