Yesterday, Rosa Koire, a valiant info warrior in the battle against the socialist international program of *Agenda 21* sent out a link to a recording of an interview she did with James E.A. Slaton of the Stone Pigman Walther Wittmann LLC law firm and vice-chairman of the Real Property Division Land Use and Zoning Committee for the city of New Orleans. Rosa included a copy of the article that Slaton wrote following the interview.

Mr. Slaton’s purpose in doing the interview was to understand the issues of concern to opponents of Agenda 21 so he could communicate those concerns to central planners so they can mount a counter-offensive. Slaton pins the tail of the donkey on the Tea Party groups as being the main opponents of Agenda 21 because of their opposition to “big government” but that’s not correct on two points. First, the Tea Party groups are a mixed bag. Some are well funded and promote policies that in a twisted way, actually support elements of Agenda 21, globalization and corporatization of government. They are the groups that receive Foundation and Koch Brothers funding and they do rail against “big government”. The other groups, Tea Party, 9-12, etc. who are not so well-funded but who do oppose Agenda 21, paradoxically oppose “lean government” even if they are not familiar with the term. To understand why that’s true, we have to take a dive into history looking first at Al “I invented the Internet” Gore and Tim Wirth for a minute.

**Convergence: Technology and Environmentalism**

Both Al Gore and Tim Wirth were Atari Democrats. In a New York Times article, E.J. Dionne described the Atari Democrats:

> “young moderates who saw investment and high technology as the contemporary New Deal. Today, they are the Democrats’ Greens, politicians who see issues like clean air, clean water and global warming as the key to future victories for their party”.

… “As I was entering high school, my mother was reading ‘Silent Spring’ and the dinner table conversation was about pesticides and the environment,” Mr. Gore said. “The year I graduated from college the momentum was building for Earth Day. After Vietnam, as I was entering divinity school, the Club of Rome report came out and the limits to growth was a main issue…

… When the “Atari Democrats” first emerged in the early Reagan years, their commitments to free markets and investment won them much criticism from older liberals, who considered neo-liberalism as warmed-over Reaganism… But some of the Atari Democrats argue that their commitment to innovative uses of markets and to the environment are complementary. Mr. Wirth, for example, has sought to bring his two passions together by arguing that market forces can be harnessed to protect the environment and work better than “command and control regulations.”

Those paragraphs contain the seeds of our discontent the sum total of which is objection to the destruction of our country and our way of life. No more. No less. The combination of radically
insane environmentalism combined with the use of technology tools designed for fascist control of all aspects of life are what we oppose. The types of controls on the lives of people enabled by technology will make life not worth living for future generations. Save the planet. Kill the spirit.

Anybody old enough to remember the Reagan years has to remember “Star Wars”. This was the strategy allegedly to bring down the “Evil Empire” through a massive technology-spending program. The fraud is the fact that Systems Analysts from the Soviet Union and the United States had been working together to “solve global problems” since 1972 when the charter for the International Institute of Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) was signed in London. This Institute was funded covertly through the National Science Foundation. One of the principals behind the founding of this Institute was Aurelio Peccei, co-founder of the Club of Rome. The Club of Rome was the sponsor of a study that produced the report, Limits to Growth that apparently was a major influence on Al Gore and presumably other techno-environmentalists.

Peccei was also the originator of a project initiated in 1974 called ‘Reshaping the International Order’ (RIO). The purpose of the project was to answer the question, “what new international order should be recommended to the world’s statesmen and social groups so as to meet, to the extent practically and realistically possible, the urgent needs of today’s population and the probable needs of future generations”. According to an article in TIME magazine titled, Theory: Club of Rome Revisited about a conference in Philadelphia sponsored by the First Pennsylvania Bank where Peccei promoted the idea of “one-worldism” through a “a trilogy of efforts” to attain the goal of global peace and prosperity through economic interdependence. The first of the efforts was the RIO report published in 1976. It included the following recommendations:

- Create new international monetary reserves to finance development in Third World countries.
- Reduce tariffs on industrial products sold by developing nations
- Set up new international agencies to subsidize the conservation of resources
- New controls on multinational companies so that they heed the needs of the countries in which they do business, as well as their own welfare.

The article continues:

“Such moves would obviously substitute international planning for the workings of the free market.” The Club aims to help that planning with a computer model, developed by Edouard Pestel, professor of engineering at West Germany's Hannover University and Mihajlo Mesarovic, director of the Systems Research Center at Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland. Concentrating on ten separate world regions, the model is fed data—population growth, food production, climate changes, energy supplies, etc.—that can permit economists to test scenarios for various situations. West Germany, for instance, is already using the model to find out how to transfer some industrial production to less-developed countries without harming its own economy.

Will people actually go along with such changes in growth patterns? The Club's third effort, a sociological investigation of human goals, optimistically indicates as much. Explains Philosopher Ervin Laszlo, now working at the U.N.'s Institute for Training and Research: "The materialistic growth ethic is not an immutable expression of human nature." Beyond this possibility of altruism, however, the Club of Rome holds out the
motivation of simple self-interest. If nations do not act to equalize resources, Club members warned in Philadelphia, mankind would rush lemming-like to the disasters so well publicized by Limits to Growth.

Aurelio Peccei was an Italian Economist who wrote his master’s thesis on Lenin’s New Economic Policy and he studied at the Sorbonne and in the Soviet Union for a time. It wasn’t an accident that he stumbled on technology as a means of “saving the planet” Peccei commissioned the MIT computer modeling project led by Jay Forrester and Dennis Meadows that produced the report that led to the book ‘Limits to Growth’. In 1965 in Buenos Aires, Peccei gave a lecture at the National Military College in which he said the following:

I shall give two examples to underline how very different a society can be which is set up for the future. M.I.T. of Boston is studying a project for making the electronic computer a public service, like the distribution of energy: a large city or regional centre would be set up; each user would submit to it his problems using a simple typewriter and the telephone; he would obtain an immediate answer, just as today the light comes on at the turn of a switch. Another example is electronic industrial design, also under study: the designer will use a luminous pencil to draw what he wants on screen like that of television, and the computer will interpret the drawing; according to need it compares it with designs in the magnetic archives (or library), translates it into a drawing correct in every detail, at the same time it produces a tape containing in the greatest possible detail all the geometrical elements of the piece which is to be made; the tape is passed to the governor of the numerical control machine tool and guides it in the automatic production of the piece required.

These may seem dreams, but before many years they will be reality. Let us remember though: they will be the reality where there exist not only perfect company, but also perfect national organization, top-efficiency throughout the whole system. For all practical purposes the temporal advantage already mentioned acquired by the United States acquires a powerful multiplier in the nation’s structure and organizational capacity.

What Peccei described of course, was the early conception of the Internet, Computer-Aided Designs (CAD) and computerized manufacturing. But more important than that, was the second paragraph that reveals the essence of the conflict and the objective of what we used to call communism – “perfect national organization, top-efficiency throughout the whole system”. Obviously, computer systems and automation are keys to ultimate control with mankind marching in militarized lockstep on a mission to achieve maximum efficiency in the business of … of what? Business? Life? What? The conception of people as parts in a perfectly tuned and efficient engine is without doubt the most heinous and wicked vision imaginable. There is no life in it. There is only the obligation to march in step.
Redefinition of Sovereignty

The Club of Rome’s report, *Reshaping the International Order* was published in book format in 1976. The following is a very important excerpt from it.

Page 82 – 5.8 The Need for Reinterpretation of National Sovereignty

An equitable social and economic order implies that a large number of decisions must be taken at the lowest possible level, so as to enhance participation and the satisfaction derived from it. Decisions with external effects, that is, decisions which have consequences for other than those taking the decisions, must be taken at a level implying these others, that is at a higher level. Generally, then, the optimum level of decision-making is that, the one where external effects are negligible. This implies that some types of decisions—those with global consequences—must be taken by international for a representing the world’s population. The international order must be organized accordingly.

This implies a voluntary surrender of national sovereignty as conceived today…

… Many of the guiding elements outlined in section 5.2 apply not only to the national level but to the international level also. Acceptance of these elements calls for a reinterpretation of the concept of national sovereignty. Participation and social control suggest a functional rather than a territorial sovereignty, or jurisdiction over determined uses rather than geographical space. Conceptually, this interpretation will make possible the progressive internationalization and socialization of all world resources—material and non-material—based upon the ‘common heritage of mankind’ principle. It also permits the secure accommodation of inclusive and exclusive uses of these resources, or, in other words, the interweaving of national and international jurisdiction within the same territorial space.

There are two points that become key to understanding our situation today plus one point from the Time Article that define the method of subversion:

- Functional Sovereignty
- Interwoven national and international jurisdiction in the same territorial space
- New controls [and benefits of monopoly] on multinational companies so that they heed the needs of the countries in which they do business, as well as their own welfare.

The significance is that they constitute strategy of subversion of national governments using systems methodology for reorganization for stealth transformation away from the American system of government – to distributed fascist systems of totalitarian control on functional lines.

In order to accomplish “functional sovereignty”, new virtual jurisdictions are created by contract. This is generally referred to as regionalism but that term is only marginally useful because it still
implies territorial jurisdiction. Functional Sovereignty is a monopoly on a function with a potential jurisdiction limited only by logistics. If the logistics permit, the functional monopoly can be global. With computer control systems and telecommunications, logistics for just about everything can be global making the Club of Rome’s plan a logical strategy for world domination by System.

Functional sovereignty as a policy is the reason why the banking and financial industries turned into criminal enterprises. Under a system of functional sovereignty with a twist on the definition of “self-government” to include “corporate persons” is the reason for the massive thefts and criminal activities by “corporate citizens”. Alan Greenspan’s libertarian philosophies were put into practice allowing the banks and Wall Street to be self-regulating. Enough said on that. We all know the outcome.

“Markets”

The semantical problem of what to call a functionally sovereign jurisdiction was solved by the redefinition of the word “market”. In newspeak, a “market” is a functional monopoly. Going back to Mr. Slaton’s interview with Rosa for a minute, there was one part of the conversation when they were talking about a light rail connection between New Orleans and Baton Rouge. Mr. Slaton said, some people would say, “this is just good business to connect these two markets” – referring to New Orleans and Baton Rouge as markets rather than cities. It’s possible that Mr. Slaton isn’t even aware of the new definition of ‘market’ as a functional monopoly. One of the key strategies of the subversive plan for “reshaping the international order” is linguistic deception. Words are carefully selected to give one impression by the common understanding of the word, while the redefinition of the word or term means something different. The intent of this strategy is to deceive leaving us with the problem of trying to discern if the user of the language actually understands what he or she is saying or if they just think they do.

The idea of functional sovereignty didn’t originate with the Club of Rome. In 1921, the U.S. Congress authorized the creation of the Port Authority of New York/New Jersey. The Port Authority has a virtual jurisdiction over a 1500 square mile area for all issues related to transportation between the two states including shorelines. The difference obviously is that the Port Authority still operates under the laws and customs of the United States. Functional sovereignty at the global level is a logical invasion of our shores by a foreign enemy. It could be considered as a logical occupation strategy for the purpose of replacing national laws, regulations and customs with foreign ideas. Such is the case with United Nations Agenda 21.

Privatization

During the Reagan Administration, probably one of the most famous things he said was that “government is the problem”. That wasn’t just an empty slogan. It became a mission of his administration to privatize government functions and to deregulate business and industry. The justification given at the time was that government bureaucracy was inefficient and that people would benefit from corporate management of government operations. From the national perspective and from a taxpayer’s point of view, it’s understandable that there was support for the Gipper’s re-engineering. It wasn’t well known at the time that when Reagan was Governor
of California, his administration’s major effort was to reorganize local government for greater efficiency (i.e. systems) using a regional strategy. Reagan had a long standing relationship with General Electric and General Electric was in the business of building computers then – and later (and still) a consulting business that designs and installs computer systems. It doesn’t require a leap in imagination to put it together that General Electric had to be a driving force behind the California effort. This theory is supported by the fact that in 1992, when Bill Clinton and Al Gore kicked off their “Reinvention of Government” program, David Osborne, author of a book titled, ‘Reinvention of Government’ and Special Assistant to Al Gore, formed an organization called the Alliance for Reinvention of Government and General Electric was one of the major sponsors along with IBM and Xerox.

International Zones

One of the most significant things that Reagan did was to sign a treaty with Mexico in 1983 that is commonly called the La Paz Agreement for the location where it was signed. The ostensible purpose of the treaty was for the U.S. and Mexico to work cooperatively on border issues. This treaty defined an international zone 100 km on each side of the border. The zone was put under the management of the EPA Director under the authority of the U.S. Secretary of State. Mexico assigned authority for their part to their corresponding officials effectively establishing an international, regional governing structure 120 miles wide over the length of the border.

The entire Agreement is no more than two pages long. It is simply an empty container document designed to be filled in administratively at a later time and off the public record. However, there are a couple of things in it that are relevant to the discussion of subversion of our government and conversion to internationally managed functional sovereignty. In fact, the La Paz Agreement is the implementation of functional sovereignty with a virtual jurisdiction (virtual because the area can be expanded by simple agreement of the parties to the agreement.)

La Paz Agreement

The United States of America and the United Mexican States, RECOGNIZING the importance of a healthful environment to the long-term economic and social well-being of present and future generations of each country as well as of the global community;

RECALLING that the Declaration of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, proclaimed in Stockholm in 1972, called upon nations to collaborate to resolve environmental problems of common concern;

NOTING previous agreements and programs providing for environmental cooperation between the two countries….Have agreed as follows: (highlights added)

ARTICLE 1

The United States of America and the United Mexican States, hereinafter referred to as the Parties, agree to cooperate in the field of environmental protection in the border area on the basis of equality, reciprocity and mutual benefit. The objectives of the present Agreement are to
establish the basis for cooperation between the Parties for the protection, improvement and conservation of the environment and the problems which affect it, as well as to agree on necessary measures to prevent and control pollution in the border area, and to provide the framework for development of a system of notification for emergency situations. Such objectives shall be pursued without prejudice to the cooperation which the Parties may agree to undertake outside the border area.

ARTICLE 5
The Parties agree to coordinate their efforts, in conformity with their own national legislation and existing bilateral agreements to Address problems of air, land and water pollution in the border area.

ARTICLE 9
Taking into account the subjects to be examined jointly, the national coordinators may invite, as appropriate, representatives of federal, state and municipal governments to participate in the meetings provided for in this Agreement. By mutual agreement they may also invite representatives of international governmental or non-governmental organizations who may be able to contribute some element of expertise on problems to be solved. The national coordinators will determine by mutual agreement the form and manner of participation of non-governmental entities.

ARTICLE 14
Unless otherwise agreed, each Party shall bear the cost of its participation in the implementation of this Agreement, including the expenses of personnel who participate in any activity undertaken on the basis of it. For the training of personnel, the transfer of equipment and the construction of installations related to the implementation of this Agreement, the Parties may agree on a special modality of financing, taking into account the objectives defined in this Agreement.

The Article 14 clause allowing for “a special modality of financing” means they can operate with private funding. The most important part of it is that it relieves this fifth column of the obligation to be accountable to Congress and to the people.

It is this writer’s belief that the “system of notification for emergency situations” was 911 service which would have been the best available in 1983. When this author was researching the steps taken to establish a regional, metropolitan governing structure in the Boise area, the first step in the strategy was to get the separate government jurisdictions to sign contracts for cooperation on the Enhanced 911 system. Once that initial contract was signed, change agents swarmed the public officials bringing money and advice.

The way this strategy works is that Mayors and County officials are brought together to sign the “cooperative emergency services” contract. From that point, they are solicited to create a permanent partnership to work cooperatively on land, water and other issues – eventually forming functionally sovereign districts – such as water districts, tax districts, etc. Officials are then incentivized to join with business leaders in the community to form another partnership that ultimately creates a fascist, shadow governing structure. This governing structure becomes
internationalized through the intermodal commerce zone (transportation hubs) combined with the airport (international port). The fascist partnership then begins to operate as an international Port Authority. This is how the RIO strategy of “interweaving of national and international jurisdiction within the same territorial space” is accomplished at the city and county level.

Enterprise of the Americas

George H.W. Bush’s Administration was a continuation of the agenda that began during the Reagan Administration but the project to dismantle and dismember the American government was at the point where serious destruction could begin.

In 1990, 23 days after Mikhail Gorbachev visited Stanford to see George Schultz and 9 days before the official destruction of the Berlin Wall, George H.W. Bush announced his ‘Enterprise of the Americas’ initiative. At the ceremony, he said:

Throughout the region, nations are turning away from the statist economic policies that stifle growth and are now looking to the power of the free market to help this hemisphere realize its untapped potential for progress. A new leadership has emerged, backed by the strength of the people's mandate, leadership that understands that the future of Latin America lies with free government and free markets.

... a new economic partnership because prosperity in our hemisphere depends on trade, not aid.

...The three pillars of our new initiative are trade, investment, and debt. To expand trade, I propose that we begin the process of creating a hemispherewide free trade zone; to increase investment, that we adopt measures to create a new flow of capital into the region; and to further ease the burden of debt, a new approach to debt in the region with important benefits for our environment.

... Second, we must build on the trend we see toward free markets and make our ultimate aim a free trade system that links all of the Americas: North, Central, and South. And we look forward to the day when not only are the Americas the first fully free, democratic hemisphere but when all are equal partners in a free trade zone stretching from the port of Anchorage to the Tierra del Fuego.

... I’m announcing today that the U.S. stands ready to enter into free trade agreements with other markets in Latin America and the Caribbean, particularly with groups of countries that have associated for purposes of trade liberalization. And the first step in this process is the now-announced free trade agreement with Mexico. We must all recognize that we won't bring down barriers to free trade overnight; changes so far-reaching may take years of preparation and tough negotiations. But the payoff in terms of prosperity is worth every effort, and now is the time to make a comprehensive free trade zone for the Americas our long-term goal.

What is not obvious is that preferential trade policy as a tool of economic development (i.e. foreign aid) was already in place. The idea was to encourage imports from “developing
countries” to build their industries, which in turn would finance the development of their countries.

Bush signed Executive Order 12803 ordering the sell off of national infrastructure assets and he took the first steps in privatizing American schools - transforming them to be “worker training” programs under corporate management by functional industry sector.

Mandatory Cooperation with the United Nations

By the time George H.W. Bush became president, the techno-environmentalists were ready with their plan of action. In 1990, the Global Climate Change Research Act was passed. (Public Law 101-606, November 16, 1990. This Act mandated BY LAW, participation by every agency head of government to participate on a Global Change Committee:

(a) GLOBAL CHANGE RESEARCH- The President should direct the Secretary of State, in cooperation with the Committee, to initiate discussions with other nations leading toward international protocols and other agreements to coordinate global change research activities. Such discussions should include the following issues:

(1) Allocation of costs in global change research programs, especially with respect to major capital projects.
(2) Coordination of global change research plans with those developed by international organizations such as the International Council on Scientific Unions, the World Meteorological Organization, and the United Nations Environment Program.
(3) Establishment of global change research centers and training programs for scientists, especially those from developing nations.
(4) Development of innovative methods for management of international global change research, including--

Title II. International Cooperation in Global Change Research

SEC. 202. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.
(a) FINDINGS- The Congress makes the following findings:
(1) Pooling of international resources and scientific capabilities will be essential to a successful international global change program.
(2) While international scientific planning is already underway, there is currently no comprehensive intergovernmental mechanism for planning, coordinating, or implementing research to understand global change and to mitigate possible adverse effects.
(3) An international global change research program will be important in building future consensus on methods for reducing global environmental degradation.
(4) The United States, as a world leader in environmental and Earth sciences, should help provide leadership in developing and implementing an international global change research program.
(b) PURPOSES- The purposes of this title are to--
(1) promote international, intergovernmental cooperation on global change research;
(2) involve scientists and policymakers from developing nations in such cooperative
global change research programs; and
(3) promote international efforts to provide technical and other assistance to developing
nations which will facilitate improvements in their domestic standard of living while
minimizing damage to the global or regional environment.

In 1992, when the Rio Summit was held, and the environmental agenda was presented, the
Congress didn’t need to approve Bush’s signature on it. They had already decided to cooperate
in 1990 when they passed the Global Climate Change Research Act thereby mandating
government cooperation and interweaving national and international jurisdiction to reshape
America into a fascist system to “save the planet”.

Behind every anarchist, there is a budding fascist.
Anarchism in America

Vicky

I cut this short. I could write a book – but then I already have. It’s all on my website.