The Descent of Mankind

In Washington DC, there are some very high level meetings going on to discuss the economy and what to do about it. My impression is that while they talk a good game, they really have no clue what's wrong with it (with one bright light exception but that I'll get to him later). The economy is not responding as it always has in the past and from what I'm hearing from these alleged experts, I don't foresee a time when it will respond. It will only get worse because all of the economic incentives in the economy are misaligned in such a way that everything they talk about doing to fix it will only exacerbate the problems. So what is the problem?

Social policy has taken precedence over economic policy without recognition of the costs and impact on the private sector. When I say social policy, most people will immediately think of so-called entitlement spending. That's not the social policy I'm talking about. The social policy I'm talking about is environmental policy. Though most of our politicians deny ever hearing of Agenda 21, all of the major government programs for "sustainable development" are to achieve the social goals of Agenda 21 and those goals are economy-killing goals by definition.

Generally speaking, the social goals of Agenda 21 are to move to a low-carbon "footprint" and to preserve natural resources by achieving efficiencies in the way we live, work and play.

Globalization was marketed as being a "job creator" – good for the American economy. No doubt the backroom talk was that the multinationals would get rich selling into "new, emerging markets" thereby creating jobs for Americans. And in fact, the wealth of the multinationals did increase exponentially – but that wealth came at the expense of the American economy. The multinationals moved their production to the countries of the "new, emerging markets" and then they sold back into the United States. This was a drain (extraction) from the American economy and it started the domino collapse as Americans found themselves unemployed with mortgages and debt that could no longer be paid.

Only Economists would have been surprised about that because they are always looking backward at what happened and using their statistics to explain it. The systems planners and designers are forward-looking – designing for what will be. They did know what was going to happen to the economy as can been seen in this graphic of the planned "new economy".

PROTOTYPICAL U.S. INDUSTRY in 10 vears if all ooes well IN THE UNITED STATES (Peative Work) (Peative More) (Peative Work) (Peative Work) (Peative More) (Peative (Peative) (Peative (Peative) (Peative (Peative) (Peativ

The move of the "dirty industries" out of the country met the social goals of Agenda 21. At the same time, the redesign of government led by technology corporations was a massive mobilization of resources to implement efficiencies in government, in our infrastructure and in our institutions. The redesign of government was in the corporate model – totalitarian by definition – giving all agencies of government a mission to implement coordinated Agenda 21 efficiencies using their regulatory and grant-making functions to make it happen – imposing the principles and costs on the private sector.

The Internet was the prime new element in the economy around which the efficiencies were designed. The concept for the new, Internet-based economy was labeled as being a "market-based economy". While the Internet and globalization was progressively decimating brick and mortar businesses, the government designers were working on implementing "managed competition".

Managed competition is of course, a socialist system of a centrally planned and managed economy. They've tried to mask that fact by putting a private sector face on the Market Managers but it doesn't take much research to see through the deception once you are tipped off that "market-based" means "middleman-managed market" – best visualized by looking at the EBAY model for trading – with EBAY being the middleman – manager of the market but with the understanding that the model applies to all government as well as private sector activities. The middleman manager is of course, in the position to select the winners and losers in the

economy and that serves the requirements of the social and economic engineering to meet the objectives of Agenda 21.

The system of Nationalized Medical records (Health Information Exchanges) and Health Insurance Exchanges are classic examples of managed competition. In fact, it was Alain Enthoven's 1993 paper on <u>The History and Principles of Managed Competition</u> that provided me with the conceptual understanding of "market-based" as the model of "governance" for the new economy.

In September of 2004, <u>Treasury Secretary John Snow</u> spoke at a National Press Club meeting about the economy. He said that the Equity Markets collapsed in 2000 and that \$7 trillion was taken out of the economy. In October of 2004, a <u>House Budget Committee</u> hearing was held to discuss options for changing the U.S. system of taxation. The reason given by both Democrat and Republican leaders was that tax revenues for the fiscal year 2003 were at their lowest point since 1950 and that there had been eight straight quarters of decline in revenues since 2001.

Beginning in 2001, when George W. Bush began signing "free trade" agreements that aren't really, and after 2004 when the Congress realized the dire straights our country was in, everything they've done to try and stimulate the economy has exacerbated the problems. Why? Because they have been funding the implementation of technology in the infrastructure, which are the efficiencies called for in Agenda 21. These efficiencies are government spending to create jobs and a short-lived economic boom that is a one-time occurrence with no on-going benefit except to increase our fixed costs of living.

Putting everything else aside for a moment, environmentalism is not only a luxury; its goals are very high-minded. The problem is that in the rotting carcass of a formerly free (relatively speaking) country as it transforms into fascist-led collectivism, there is no honor, no integrity, no principle higher than the pursuit of money in the hopes of making it to the quite small fascist class – thereby avoiding the losses and degradation of being dropped into the collectivized and despairing mass class. The transformation process obviously includes corruption of public officials – including and especially corruption of the legal and judicial system. The fish rots from the head down and fascism starts at the top with the full weight of government to impose their poison on the rest. Attempts to seek redress through the legal system fail more often than not, because corruption has been legalized and institutionalized leaving a wide-open field for abuse of the mass class by the privileged few.

At the beginning of this monograph, I mentioned one bright light of understanding that came from a man named Peter Thiel, President of the Clarium Capital Hedge Fund. Mr. Thiel was one of the panelists in a discussion on the Effects of Regulation and Technology & Innovation that was sponsored by The Federalist Society. Mr. Thiel summarized the state of our economy and the nature of our problems probably better than anybody I've heard on mainstream TV.

Title: <u>Technology Regulation</u> Program ID: 304734-4 Category: Public Affairs Event First Aired: Apr 14, 2012

So what was the point of this panel discussion? Deregulation of biomedical research couched in terms of technology and innovation. The conclusion by the panelists – and I didn't hear any dissention, was that the FDA and their regulatory regime was a hindrance to the biomedical research industry. The solution of course, "free market" in the development of drugs; people should be free to make their own choices without the interference from the government.

I don't like to beat around the bush so I'm just going to say it. The deregulation of biomedical research will lead to the indirect monetization of poor people for the purpose of using their bodies for experimental medical research. It's killing two birds with one stone so to speak – with no apologies for the pun. It will eliminate "poverty" for the betterment of mankind. Or we can just say, it's the descent of mankind to a state of inhumanity - eugenics updated for the 21st century.

Stay tuned. Crimes Against Humanity coming soon to a community near you.

Medical trials that offer free health care are attracting Treasure Valley patients

Volunteering for medical research a lure in recession

Vicky Davis May 3, 2012

P.S. The economy won't recover because government mandates for Agenda 21 are unnecessary overhead costs for the real economy. The non-productive side of the economy, the "implementers" of Agenda 21 will continue to grow as managers of cast-off members of the dying real economy. All economic stimulus coming from Washington DC will accelerate that process. This will create lots of candidates for medical research as poverty and all the health problems associated with it increase and people become more desperate.

Manhattan Project for the 21st Century: real time biomedical research on human populations

"Because it's clean".