9/11 Analysis

Responding to devil's advocate -

Hawkins identification of the murder-for-hire network was extremely important information that correlated with what I was seeing - both connected and not connected to the WTC.

Sometimes I do pages like this when I have something to say that I can't put into my research because all I have is circumstantial evidence - the 'pattern of the crimes'. Notice bridges taken out, trucks crashed, fires, etc. And not all of the events I know of are listed on the page but it is my belief that they are terrorist events.

http://www.channelingreality.com/NWO_WTO/Fire2.htm

Terrorist events like this are happening not just in our country but in other countries as well so that means that the objectives are outside the bubble of the WTC. Going back in history - the Marshall Plan and the objectives in Europe were to create the European Union and it was a covert objective. They had to get public officials in positions of power to give up that power and sovereignty and to betray their country. How did they do that? How would you do it?

They bought off officials when they could, they used terrorism as incentives and they murdered when they had to. The terrorist groups in the European countries were given different names by officials in the respective countries because I'm sure they thought the groups were dissidents in their own country – when actually, it was an organized terror ring with the objective I just stated – to create the European Union. Who was directing the show? The CIA and the State Department that's who.

Strategically, what institutional and infrastructure changes would you have had to make to Europe to create a "United States of Europe"? Transportation systems would be one. Power generation and utilities would be another; water and waste disposal another; communications systems another. If you are going to create an integrated whole, your basic infrastructure and institutional controls of them have to work as an integrated whole. For example, in Europe, the main transportation system was by train. Each country had it's own system – and the tracks were different gages and that was intentional to prevent foreign invasion. So first, they had to build an integrated transportation system. Terrorism was used to break down the national barriers – to convince public officials of the "need" and "benefit" of integrating these systems, to harmonize the laws among the separate nations and to build the regional power structures over the top of the sovereign national governments of Europe. And it should go without saying, that they couldn't have done it without the cooperation of the some parts of the governments of Europe – probably the intelligence agencies, judiciary and law enforcement. Not everybody needs to know – just a few key officials.

Those same people – are doing the same thing to public officials in our country now. The idea was to build the North American Union. As I said in 'Shadow Government', the way they do it is through regionalism to build the shadow government. They get local officials to agree to give up some of their power to a higher level governing authority – that not coincidently is controlled by somebody who is probably working for the global system and probably the CIA either directly or indirectly. So you could say that they've built their governing structure on top of our government – and they are taking control that way – covertly. It's the same strategy that was used in Europe.

In 1991, George H.W. Bush signed legislation that included provision to "study" the idea of building an international highway that would go from Mexico through the U.S. all the way to Alaska. Of course it wasn't really to "study" the idea, it was to start the design process to build it. The Alaska – Canada- U.S. link was already either complete or nearly complete. It is called the ALCAN and it was probably the first leg of the CANAMEX or will be a branch off the CANAMEX. They started building it in the 1980's (and by building it – I mean turning the dirt roads into real roads). We've stopped the trans-texas corridor for now

– but they are still working on it. Of course we all know that George H.W. Bush was CIA and he's been knighted for service to the queen.

On 9/11, Colin Powell was in Peru to sign the Inter-American Democratic Charter. It was also Colin Powell's idea to have the regional command structure... EUCOM, PACOM, SOCOM, and probably ultimately NOCOM – Northern Command. This is the structure of the global military.

George H.W. Bush ordered the initiation of the unified command structure for the American military. In 1992, he dissolved SAC, which was America's air defense, and he created STRATCOM whose purpose it was to consolidate the leadership of the different branches of the military. This was the first step in the globalization of America's defense infrastructure. (Recall that Dick Cheney was the Secretary of Defense when this was done).

The objective since before 1945 has been to have a "global authority" which is a stupid-ass, transparent marketing label for totalitarian global government. That's one of the things that set me off about Thomas Barnett was that he was talking about globalizing the Navy, erasing our borders, investing in China and sending the Army offshore forever – leaving only the Marines to keep the peace. Why would you want to send the Army offshore forever? Because Armies are about territory – protect and defend – attached to America and the Constitution. The Navy has always been global in a way and the Marines are a sub unit of the Navy. One more thing, Barnett – before he went to work for Art Cebrowski, was with USAID, which means that he was CIA.

That information forms the basis for understanding what is happening to our country and what happened on September 11, 2001. September 11, 2001 was the kick off for the free-for-all war against American national sovereignty – waged against America and the American people by traitors within and their allies around the world – in every country that they have destroyed while building the totalitarian system of global government.

In a movie I saw recently, there were two video clips, one of George Bush and one of Condi Rice... both saying, "I don't think the previous administration could have envisioned airplanes flying into buildings". When you add that to the base of evidence concerning the Clinton Administration's activities with respect to the North American Union plus the Bush Administrations actions towards the same goal, you know that what Bush and Rice were really saying is that a terrorist attack had been planned, they were aware of itbut that they didn't know that it was going to be so dramatic and kill so many people. A few people dead... yes that would have been ok "for the cause", but they didn't expect anything so big and traumatic.

More evidence, within an hour or two, Richard Holbrooke, an Ambassador is on the television saying that it was Bin Laden. Within a couple of days, Robert Mueller, Director of the FBI, announced they identified the "terrorists" and identified Bin Laden as the ringleader. All, 100% BS. The World Trade Center was blown in a controlled demolition. There were no airplanes flying into buildings. All there was – was a fictional representation on video footage streamed into the emergency news network. The timing was slightly off on WTC 7 – and the British reporter reported the collapse before it actually occurred. George Bush stepped up and offered to pay off the family members for their silence and the debris was hauled away without investigation.

There are millions of pieces of evidence to examine and analyze on every aspect of what happened on 9/11. The airplanes flying into buildings were a magicians trick – Hollywood style. There are a lot of mind obstacles to overcome before one can accept the idea that these people had the audacity to pull a stunt like this – coupled with the criminal behavior of our government to cover it up. That's a lot to swallow but that's what I believe happened. There really is no substitute for walking through the evidence yourself, but by way of the barest of evidence, look at this picture and examine the hole in the building – in particular, look at the wing locations relative to the center hole.



Click HERE for the original picture. HERE for a better distance shot. HERE for others.

Now think of the videos you've seen of the airplanes flying into the building. Very smooth entry, which "they" explain by the speed of the airplane and some people point to the flash which some say is a laser or explosives (although the timing of the explosion is off for that to be the case and explosions aren't precise enough to cut the pattern shown and still not be visible in the videos). At the left, there is an indentation that implies a wing but it doesn't cut through which means that on the videos we should see the wing crumple at a minimum. Also notice the angle of the image – it implies an angled entry but the videos show a straight in shot. You might want to question the inward bent of the columns that would be reasonable but there were some Israeli "art students" who rented space in the WTC for some kind of stunt. They did remove a window and their explanation was not satisfactory. What they could have been doing was planting explosive material on the external columns of the building so that there would be an inward push to give the illusion of the entry of the wing.

Why was the World Trade Center selected as a target? Because the Port Authority of New York wanted the towers demolished anyway. They were loaded with asbestos and the costs for asbestos abatement were skyrocketing. They had applied for a demolition permit but it was refused because of the environmental hazards of a demolition of that magnitude. It's not known whether or not WTC 7 was in the original game plan but the fact that Rudy Guiliani was wandering the streets of New York looking for a place to hang out – and ultimately ended up on the 12th street pier area where FEMA was located, is a good indication that they knew ahead of time at least that day if not before.

Cantor Fitzgerald


Among some circles, the thinking is that Cantor Fitzgerald was the target and the reason for the towers to be destroyed. That is only partially correct. Cantor Fitzgerald was a target of opportunity for the people who were involved in setting up the covert false flag terrorist event of blowing the towers. But they were not the reason for the demolition. Who might have been the key person in the previous administration

that would fit the profile of somebody with the power and influence to select the target and to arrange the hit?

Robert Rubin was the U.S. Treasury Secretary during the Clinton Administration and before that he worked for Goldman Sachs for 25 years. Rubin is responsible for setting up the financial hits on our economy to further the goal of breaking down American national sovereignty. He was in a position to know about and to facilitate the deregulation of the banking and securities laws and he was directly involved in establishing the Community Reinvestment Programs that set up a pipeline of money flowing into the ghettos of the cities providing money for payoffs, murder for hire and terrorism. The programs and Rubin's involvement are documented here:

New Welfare for the New Economy

And the first city to get the money? Chicago. And everybody knows that Chicago is run by the mob and always has been.





Rahm Emanuel is the connection between Chicago, Israel and the Mossad, Robert Rubin, the unions, the mob and the imported gangstas from south of the border and the 'murder-for-hire' network.

Is it any wonder that nobody will touch him? He's the modern day Al Capone – but without moral fiber.

As Hawkins and McConnell have revealed in their investigation, Chicago is a hotbed of activity geared towards "the New Economy" as planned by the global economic planners of the communist international. The 'New Economy' (see the Progressive Policy Institute of the DLC) is no economy other than what you can scrape together for yourself. The plan is for the vultures and criminals to "redistribute" your wealth into their pockets by any means necessary. There is method to this madness too but I'll save that for another day.

The Chicago Climate Exchange in which Al Gore is an investor was in competition with Cantor for the carbon trading market and Cantor was ahead of them. In the Internet trading world, first in the market is generally the winner so it was a big bonus to be able to target Cantor Fitzgerald's office in the World Trade Center – but that's all it was – a bonus. The towers would have been taken out regardless of Cantor because the event was part of the larger plan. The evidence for that is that all the CEO's of World Trade Center corporations that could possibly have been killed by the events of the day were in Nebraska at Offutt Air Force base at a charity golfing event sponsored by Warren Buffett – in September.

Right. Refer back to George H.W. Bush – he dissolved SAC and stood up STRATCOM to consolidate military leadership under a joint command. Where was STRATCOM located? Offutt Air Force base in Nebraska. And if you'll recall, Air Force One was summoned to Offutt also that day.

Pentagon: Cold War

It doesn't take too much of an imagination to theorize that there was a cold war going on between the officers of the upper levels of the military services. Under unified command, one branch would have to take the lead and it would appear that the Navy won. The Army had leadership from the old school and they had spent their careers preparing for another power war like World War II. The Navy people are pretty much the "clean hands" military who have developed technology for remote war. The Army people are hand-to-hand, on the ground fighters and all the technology in the world won't change that until there is a total and complete police surveillance state with the capability to dispatch uncooperative people individually before a problem ever develops.

.

Donald Rumsfeld was a proponent of the "New American Way of War" which in plain English is Technology and Terrorism. Of course they don't use that language. They call it 'Effects-based Operations by Special Forces' but it's the same nonetheless. Rumsfeld wanted to reorganize the military for T & T war. General Shelton wasn't having any of it so Rumsfeld declared war on the Army. And there is good evidence to suggest that the Pentagon hit was an add-on to the pre-planned events of 9-11 and that Donald Rumsfeld ordered it.

Rumsfeld's War - video

We know that Donald Rumsfeld had a meeting with select members of Congress on the morning of 9-11 and they were told to expect the unexpected and that comes from the mouth of Rep. John Mica during a Congressional hearing on the death of Pat Tillman. Mica was at the meeting with Rumsfeld on the morning of 9-11. The evidence that Rumsfeld knew about it which means that he ordered it are in his actions that day. First the meeting. Then after the hit – rather than being at the command center where he should have been, he was outside helping with the wounded which shows a guilty conscience and no real fear of the alleged unknown attackers attacking the heart of America's defense.

What hit the Pentagon was a missile and it might have been following a drone but that's really immaterial. What's important is that a passenger jet did not hit the Pentagon but the News coverage and the propaganda storyline was that it did. In other words, they tried to make it appear as if it was part of the same event as that which occurred in New York City when it wasn't part of it at all.

Later that day at a press conference, he said the following:

<u>Rumsfeld</u>: Senator Levin, you and other Democrats in Congress have voiced fear that you simply don't have enough money for the large increase in defense that the Pentagon is seeking, especially for missile defense, and you fear that you'll have to dip into the Social Security funds to pay for it. Does this sort of thing convince you that an emergency exists in this country to increase defense spending, to dip into Social Security, if necessary, to pay for defense spending -- increase defense spending?

The DOD gets more money than any other branch of government – supposedly so why would Rumsfeld be so desperate for money for defense? The answer is that if you dig deeper and refer back to what the U.S. Treasury Secretary Rubin, Vice President Al Gore and the previous Secretary of Defense, William

Cohen were doing, it won't be difficult to see that our defense capability had been crippled also – and the defense capability begins with the budget and without supplies, the military doesn't move no matter how big and strong it is. Such is the nature of net-centric warfare. Information and technology trumps brawn in the 21st century (at least until brawn figures out the game).

21st Century Coup d'etat

On <u>September 8, 2000</u>, President Bill Clinton committed treason against the people of the United States by signing the Millennium Declaration Charter calling for global government. All through the Clinton Administration – every action they took was to set us up for a covert war to disembowel and dismember this nation. Al Gore with his "reinvention of government" initiative; William Cohen with his sabotage of the military; Robert Rubin with his economic sabotage and establishment of the criminal network of coup forces with a guaranteed stream of funding from the government (stroke of genius – two birds); Sandy "the Burglar" Berger and his covert summit meetings where they planned the merger of the U.S., Canada and Mexico, the signing of the Marrakesh Agreement to create the World Trade Organization; etc. All activities separately were treason against the United States and the people of America. Together, they are evidence of a strategic plan for a coup d'etat.

Logically, if you are going to execute a coup – and especially a silent coup like the one that is in progress, you have to turnover the existing power base – and replace it with a new power base at the mid level with the mid-level being the professional class of citizens – the teachers, doctors, lawyers, government officials etc. For this kind of transition to occur, the professional class and local officials either have to roll over and give up their positions or they have to be pushed out. That's where the murder-for-hire network as described by Hawkins and McConnell comes into play. The method of the murders is not tied to carbon trading. The method of the murders depends upon the target and the skills and ingenuity of the assassin.

And that's where we are today with all of the inexplicable things that our public officials are doing. You can bet there is a proverbial gun to their head somewhere in the background or they are true believers in the global agenda and it's hard to tell the difference.

Vicky Davis January 26, 2010